Exhibit K

SUPERIOR	COURT	OF	$\Gamma H E$	ST	ATE	OF	CALIFORNIA
FOF	R THE	COUN	TY C	F :	LOS	ANG	GELES

LINDA ZIMMERMAN, AN INDIVIDUAL,	CERTIFIED ORIGINAL	
PLAINTIFF,) CASE NO. BC720153	3
VS.)) VOLUME II	
AUTOZONE INC., ET AL.,)	
DEFENDANTS.)	

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM LONGO, PH.D.

MAY 12, 2020

SUWANEE, GEORGIA

JOB NO. 300655 REPORTED BY KRISTIN VARGAS, CSR NO. 11908, RPR

me that PLM then is going to have trouble resolving chrysotile in that Chinese talc without your heavy liquid separation at very low concentrations?

PageID: 185431

A At very low concentrations, that would be correct. You would have to define what very low concentrations are. At a concentration of what was found in Titley, it does not have a problem using our system.

Q What do you mean by your system?

A Well, we have an enhanced objective lens that gives you better resolution to discriminate between the fibers and it gives better resolution on the dispersion staining. And we have the high definition monitor that allows you to increase the size and be able to adjust your focus a little bit easier.

On a regular PLM setup with a PLM analyst that's not experienced in looking at this, he may never find it. Maybe at the concentration we found, but I don't know.

Q So would you agree that at least for Coalinga type chrysotile, the PLM procedure is not reliable for confirming chrysotile asbestos in a sample, whether it's a bulk building product or even something like a talc without doing your heavy liquid separation technique?

A No, I won't agree with that. If you're

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
3	
4	I, Kristin Vargas, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
5	Certificate No. 11908 do hereby certify:
6	That prior to being examined, the witness named in the
7	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify to the
8	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
9	That said deposition was taken down by me in shorthand
10	at the time and place therein named and thereafter reduced
11	to typewriting under my direction, and the same is a true,
12	correct, and complete transcript of said proceedings;
13	That if the foregoing pertains to the original
14	transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before
15	completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript
16	{ } was { } was not required.
17	I further certify that I am not interested in the event
18	of the action.
19	
20	Witness my hand this15th day ofMAY,
21	2020.
22	- KVayas
23	KRISTIN VARGAS Certified Shorthand Reporter
24	for the State of California
25	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·